### <u>CSCE 313-200</u> Introduction to Computer Systems Spring 2025

#### Synchronization

Dmitri Loguinov Texas A&M University

February 4, 2023

## Chapter 5: Roadmap

5.1 Concurrency Appendix A.1
5.2 Hardware mutex
5.3 Semaphores
5.4 Monitors
5.5 Messages
5.6 Reader-Writer

#### Part II

**Chapter 3: Processes** 

**Chapter 4: Threads** 

Chapter 5: Concurrency

Chapter 6: Deadlocks

## **Inter-Process Communication (IPC)**

- IPC enables exchange of information between threads/processes
- Two main approaches
  - Shared memory
  - Messages
- Shared memory
  - Primary method to pass data between threads
  - Much faster than messages
  - However, requires protection against concurrent modification to shared data

- Messages
  - Data copied through a kernel buffer
  - OS provides exclusion
  - Can be used between hosts in distributed applications (e.g., pipes, network sockets)
- Pipes already covered,
   now deal with shared memory IPC

- Most examples will be in C++ style pseudocode
  - See MSDN for detailed usage of functions
- Start with two threads
  - Shared class passed to each thread
  - Thread1 computes a+b and saves into a
  - Thread2 does the same, but saves into b
- What is the outcome?

class	Shared	{			
	int		a;		
	int		b;		
};					

Shared::Thread1 () a += b

Shared::Thread2 () b += a

main ()	
Shared st;	
st.a = 1	
st.b = 2	
CreateThread	(st.Thread1)
CreateThread	(st.Thread2)
print (st.a,	st.b)

- Prints (1,2) and quits
  - Need to wait for threads
  - Assuming this problem is fixed, what is the result?

//	ir	nit	ial	state
st.	a	=	1	
st.	.b	=	2	

- Analyze the various execution paths
  - Two threads concurrently execute this:

thread 1	thread 2		
Shared::Thread1 ()	Shared::Thread2 ()		
1) a += b	2) b += a		

CPU trace:



- How about the next example
  - Now both variables are modifed, threads print their values

#### thread 1

Shared::Thread1 ()				
1)	a += b			
2)	b += a			
3)	print (a, b)			

Share	<b>d:</b> :	Tł	ıre	ead	12	( )
4)	а	=	27	*a	+	b
5)	b	=	а	+	23	*b
6)	pı	cir	ιt	( 8	ì,	b)

thread 2

#### • CPU trace:

ver 1	ver 2	ver 3	ver 4
<ol> <li>a = 3, b = 2</li> <li>a = 3, b = 5</li> <li>prints (3,5)</li> <li>a = 11, b = 5</li> <li>a = 11, b = 21</li> <li>prints (11,21)</li> </ol>	1) a = 3, b = 2 4) a = 8, b = 2 2) a = 8, b = 10 5) a = 8, b = 28 3) prints (8,28) 6) prints (8,28)	<pre>1) a = 3, b = 2 2) a = 3, b = 5 4) a = 11, b = 5 5) a = 11, b = 21 3) prints (11,21) 6) prints (11,21)</pre>	<ol> <li>a = 3, b = 2</li> <li>a = 8, b = 2</li> <li>a = 8, b = 10</li> <li>prints (8,10)</li> <li>a = 8, b = 28</li> <li>prints (8,28)</li> </ol>



- Actual tree is deeper since we have to consider each assembler-level instruction
  - Even most basic c = a + b may be implemented as 4 CPU instructions: load (reg1, a), load(reg2, b), add(reg1, reg2), store (c, reg1)
  - Also could be load(reg, a), add(reg, b), store (c, reg)
- Because of this, synchronization bugs may be compiler-specific
  - Some may only appear in debug or release mode
- <u>Conclusion</u>: proper synchronization is mandatory for access to shared memory
- However, not all access needs protection
  - Required only if data is modified by at least one thread

#### Critical section

- Piece of code that is sensitive to concurrent events in other threads
- Critical sections require synchronization to exclude other threads from damaging data
- Atomic operation
  - Set of instructions that cannot be interrupted by another thread

Shared::Thread () a++

- Single CPU instruction is always atomic
  - Is the code above safe?
- Nope, L2/L3 cache coherency problems on multi-core platforms
  - Result unpredictable
- Also, compiler may split this into multiple instructions
  - Possible in debug mode

Deadlock

 Infinite wait for events or some conditions

### **Deadlock Illustrated**



#### Livelock

- Non-stop activity that typically changes shared state, but makes no progress
- Unlike deadlock, which makes no change to shared variables

### • Elevator example:

- Every time a button is pressed, elevator responds by moving towards the floor where it was pressed
- New button commands preempt old ones
- Selfish customers



floor 10





- Mutual exclusion (mutex)
  - Data structure that allows only one thread in its critical section at one time
- Multiple critical sections within a thread possible
- Race condition
  - Situation where the outcome depends on the order of thread execution
  - Hw1-part3: robots race to find the exit; found solution is non-deterministic
  - Sometimes acceptable

```
Shared::Thread ()
MutexA.Lock() // enter
a++
MutexA.Unlock() // leave
// do some work here
MutexB.Lock() // enter
b++
c += b
MutexB.Unlock() // leave
```

- Busy-spinning
  - A while loop that tests variable(s) until some condition is reached
  - Not used often in user space, but parts of the kernel rely on it
- Work starvation
  - Certain threads are under-utilized (ready to run, but no work)

- Work starvation (cont'd)
  - Caused by unbalanced job partitioning or OS scheduler giving less CPU time to certain threads
- Assuming the OS is welldesigned, only the former issue is of concern
- <u>Examples</u>
  - Hw1-part3: one thread deposits new rooms in the queue, then immediately grabs them all back for exploration

- Threads sort keys concurrently, where thread i gets keys whose upper k bits are i
- Does this search loop require a mutex:

```
while (exit not found)
    x = U.pop();
    Expore(x);
```

- Yes since U.pop() modifies the underlying data structure
- Should Explore(x) be inside a mutex?