# CSCE 463/612
# Networks and Distributed Processing
# Spring 2017

## Network Layer IV

Dmitri Loguinov
Texas A&M University

April 13, 2017

# Chapter 4: Roadmap

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

4.5 Routing algorithms

- Link state
- Distance Vector
- Hierarchical routing

4.6 Routing in the Internet

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

# Distance Vector Algorithm

- Two metrics known to each node $x$
  - Estimate $D_x(y)$ of least cost from $x$ to $y$
  - Link cost $c(x,v)$ to reach $x$'s immediate neighbors
- Each node maintains a distance vector:

$$\vec{D}_x = \{D_x(y) : y \in V\}$$

- Node $x$ periodically asks its neighbors for their distance vectors
  - Thus, $x$ has access to the following for each neighbor $v$

$$\vec{D}_v = \{D_v(y) : y \in V\}$$

# Distance Vector Algorithm (cont'd)

Basic idea (Bellman-Ford):

- When a node $x$ receives new DV estimate from neighbor $v$, it updates its own DV using the Bellman-Ford equation:

$$D_x(y) \leftarrow \min\{D_x(y),\, c(x,v) + D_v(y)\},\ \forall\ y \in V$$

- Centralized Bellman Ford requires O(|V|·|E|) time
  - Dijkstra's algorithm was O(|V|·log|V|)
  - Convergence of decentralized version depends on topology, link weights, update delays, and timing of events
- Bellman Ford allows negative weights

# Distance Vector Algorithm (cont'd)

Iterative, asynchronous

Each iteration caused by:

- Local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

Distributed:

- Each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes
  - Neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

Each node:



**node x table**

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| y | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ |
| z | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ |

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | 7 | 1 | 0 |

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | 3 | 1 | 0 |

**node y table**

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ |

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | 7 | 1 | 0 |

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | 3 | 1 | 0 |

**node z table**

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ |
| y | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ |
| z | 7 | 1 | 0 |

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | 3 | 1 | 0 |

| from \ cost to | x | y | z |
|---|---|---|---|
| x | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| y | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| z | 3 | 1 | 0 |

time



# Distance Vector: Link Cost Changes

Link cost changes:

- Node detects local link cost change
- Recalculates distance vector, updates routing info if needed
- If DV changes, notifies neighbors




"good news travels fast"

- Node $y$ detects link-cost change, updates its distance to $x$, and informs its neighbors
- Node $z$ receives $y$'s message and updates its table; computes a new least-cost to $x$ and sends its DV to $x$ and $y$
- Finally, node $y$ receives $z$'s vector and updates its distance table; $y$'s least costs do not change and hence $y$ does *not* send any messages after that

# Distance Vector: Link Cost Changes

Link cost changes:

- Good news travels fast
- Bad news travels slow – "count to infinity" problem!
- 46 iterations before algorithm stabilizes




Poisoned reverse ("split horizon"):

- If $z$ routes through $y$ to get to $x$:
  - $z$ tells $y$ that its ($z$'s) distance to $x$ is infinite (so $y$ won't route to $x$ via $z$)
- Will this completely solve count to infinity problem?

# Comparison of LS and DV Algorithms

Message complexity

- LS: with $n$ nodes, $E$ links, $O(nE)$ msgs sent
- DV: exchange between neighbors only
  - Depends on convergence time

Time to Convergence

- LS: $O(n\log n)$ algorithm + delay to send $O(nE)$ msgs
  - Oscillations (cost = congestion)
- DV: convergence time varies
  - May have routing loops
  - Count-to-infinity problem

Robustness: what happens if router malfunctions?

LS:

- Node can advertise incorrect *link* cost
- Affects only a small portion of the graph

DV:

- DV node can advertise incorrect *path* cost
- Each node's table used by others
- Errors propagate thru network

# Chapter 4: Roadmap

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

4.5 Routing algorithms

- Link state
- Distance Vector
- Hierarchical routing

4.6 Routing in the Internet

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

# Hierarchical Routing

Problems in practice:

- Memory: can't store paths to all destinations in a routing table (several billion links)
- CPU time: can't overload routers with such huge computational expense
- Message overhead: routing table exchanges would overload links
- Competitiveness: ISPs not willing to share their topology with others

Solution: administrative autonomy

- Internet = network of networks
- Network admins control routing in their own networks, export reachable subnets to outside world

# Hierarchical Routing

- Aggregate routers into regions called AS (Autonomous Systems)
- Routers in the same AS run the same algorithm
  - Accomlished via intra-AS routing protocols
- ISPs gain flexibility
  - Routers in different ASes can run different intra-AS protocols that cannot directly speak to each other, which is OK

Texas A&M owns AS3794 with two subnets: 128.194/16 and 165.91/16

## Gateway routers

- Direct links to routers in other ASes
- Exchange routing view of each AS using an inter-AS protocol
  - Summary of subnets to which this AS is willing to route



# Interconnected ASes







Terminology: exit router = border router = gateway

- Intra-AS sets entries for all internal dests
  - E.g., 1a plots shortest path to 1b using link-state alg
- Inter-AS accepts external dests from neighbor ASes
  - E.g., 1b learns 128.194/16 is reachable via AS2
- Inter-AS broadcasts pairs (subnet, exit router)
  - E.g., 1b notifies all routers in AS1 that it can reach 128.194/16

# Example: Choosing Among Multiple ASes

- Now suppose AS1 learns from the inter-AS protocol that 128.194/16 is reachable from AS3 *and* from AS2
  - To configure forwarding table, routers in AS1 must determine towards which exit (1c or 1b) they must forward packets
- This is also the job of inter-AS routing protocol!
  - Usually based on ISP policy, SLAs, prior traffic engineering
- Hot potato routing: send packet towards closest of two exit points (other options discussed later)

# Chapter 4: Roadmap

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

4.5 Routing algorithms

4.6 Routing in the Internet

- RIP
- OSPF
- BGP

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

# Intra-AS Routing

- Also known as Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)
- Common Intra-AS routing protocols:
  - RIP: Routing Information Protocol (DV)
  - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First (LS)
  - IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary, DV, now obsolete); EIGRP (Extended IGRP)
  - IS-IS (Intermediate System to Intermediate System, LS, independent of the network layer)

# Chapter 4: Roadmap

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

4.5 Routing algorithms

4.6 Routing in the Internet

- RIP
- OSPF
- BGP

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

# RIP (Routing Information Protocol)

- Included in BSD-UNIX distribution in 1982
  - Distance vector algorithm
- Distance metric: # of hops (max = 15)
  - Distance vectors: exchanged among neighbors every 30 sec using advertisement messages
  - Each message: lists of up to 25 destination nets within AS




| destination subnet | hops from A |
|---|---|
| u | 1 |
| v | 2 |
| w | 2 |
| x | 3 |
| y | 3 |
| z | 2 |

# RIP: Example




| Destination Network | Next Router | Number of hops to dest |
|---|---|---|
| $w$ | $A$ | 2 |
| $y$ | $B$ | 2 |
| $z$ | $B$ | 7 |
| $x$ | -- | 1 |
| .... | .... | .... |

Routing table in $D$

# RIP: Example

| Dest | Next | hops |
|---|---|---|
| w | - | - |
| x | - | - |
| z | C | 4 |
| .... | ... | ... |

Advertisement from A to D




| Destination Network | Next Router | Number of hops to dest. |
|---|---|---|
| $w$ | A | 2 |
| $y$ | B | 2 |
| $z$ | ~~B~~ A | ~~7~~ 5 |
| $x$ | -- | 1 |
| .... | .... | .... |

Routing table in $D$

# RIP: Link Failure and Recovery

- If no advertisement heard after 180 sec → neighbor/link declared dead
  - Routes via neighbor invalidated
  - New advertisements sent to neighbors
  - Neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
  - Link-failure info propagates to entire network
- That's why it is important to assign high priority to packets from routing protocols at ISP routers
  - QoS only applies to specialized packets generated by ISP
- RIP uses poisoned reverse to prevent loops (infinite distance = 16 hops)

# RIP Table Processing

Note: named, smtpd, etc. are Unix deamons (services)

- RIP routing tables managed by an application-level process called *routed* (daemon)
- Advertisements sent in UDP packets (port 520)



# Chapter 4: Roadmap

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

4.5 Routing algorithms

4.6 Routing in the Internet

- RIP
- OSPF
- BGP

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

# OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- "Open": protocol specifications publicly available
  - v1 (1989), v2 (1998), and v3 (2008)
- Uses Link State (LS) algorithm
  - LS packet dissemination
  - Topology map at each node
  - Route computation using Dijkstra's algorithm
- Advertisements disseminated to entire AS (via flooding)
  - Carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP) using protocol number 89
  - Layer 3.5 similar to ICMP
  - Handles own error detection/correction

# OSPF "Advanced" Features (Not in RIP)

- Security: all OSPF messages authenticated to prevent malicious intrusion
- Multiple same-cost paths allowed (only one path in RIP)
- Integrated uni- and multicast support:
  - Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology database as OSPF
- Hierarchical OSPF in large domains

# Hierarchical OSPF



# Hierarchical OSPF

- Two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone
  - Link-state advertisements only in area
  - Each node has a detailed topology for the area it belongs to and shortest paths to all destinations therein
- Area border routers: “summarize” distances to networks in their own area, advertise to other area border routers
- Backbone routers: run OSPF routing limited to the backbone
- Boundary routers: connect to other AS’s